



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,092	10/622,092 07/16/2003		Joseph Rubinfeld	12636-331	1080
21971	7590	04/29/2004		EXAMINER	
	N SONSINI E MILL RO	GOODRICH & F	PESELEV, ELLI		
	LTO, CA 9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1623	
				DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/622,092	RUBINFELD, JOSEPH			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	-	Elli Peselev	1623			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	· ·	1			
Period fo	or Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□						
Applicati	ion Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment((s)					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) · No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat) 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/622,092

Art Unit: 1623

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the status of the parent application Serial No. 10/163,520 has not been updated on page 1 of the specification.

Page 2

Appropriate correction is required.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-53 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-53 of U.S. Patent No. 6,627,614. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed methods are encompassed by the patented methods.

Claims 1-23 and 27-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of treating cancer, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of treating a disease associated with undesirable or uncontrolled cell proliferation. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to

Application/Control Number: 10/622,092

Art Unit: 1623

use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The terminology "a disease associated with undesirable or uncontrolled cell proliferation" encompasses malignant and non-malignant tumors. Since anthracyclines and camptothecin are known anticancer agents but are not known for the treatment of non-malignant tumors, there is a good reason to doubt that the claimed methods are effective in the treatment of nonmalignant tumors. Further, the specification fails to provide any teaching or suggestion for the treatment of which diseases, besides cancer, the claimed methods are useful.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curran et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,034,243).

Curran et al disclose that camptothecin is a useful anticancer agents and acts as a topoisomerase I poison while anthracycline is a useful anticancer agent and acts as a topoisomerase II poison (column 2, lines 25-53). A person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made would have been motivated to use sequentially anthracycline and camtothecin for the treatment of cancer because such a person would have expected the two agents to produce an anticancer effect. Therefore, the claimed methods are deemed prima facie obvious over Curran et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,092

Art Unit: 1623

1 . A Ch

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00-5.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200